

On Newton(like) inequalities for multivariate homogeneous polynomials

Leonid Gurvits *

May 14, 2008

Abstract

Let $p(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_m = n} a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} x_i^{r_i}$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n in m variables. We call such polynomial **H-Stable** if $p(z_1, \dots, z_m) \neq 0$ provided that the real parts $\operatorname{Re}(z_i) > 0 : 1 \leq i \leq m$. It can be assumed WLOG that the coefficients $a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} := a_R \geq 0$.

This notion from *Control Theory* is closely related to the notion of *Hyperbolicity* intensively used in the *PDE* theory.

Let $R_0; R_1, \dots, R_k$ are integer vectors and $R_0 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} a_j R_j$, where the real numbers $a_j \geq 0 : 1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} a_j = 1$. We define, for an integer vector $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$, $R! =: \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} r_i!$.

We prove that $\log(a_R R!) \geq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} a_j \log(a_j R_j!) - n \alpha_n$, where $\frac{1}{2} \log(2) \leq \alpha_n \leq \log(\frac{n^n}{n!})$ and get better bounds on α_n for sparse polynomials. We relax a notion of **H-Stability** by introducing two classes of homogeneous polynomials: Alexandrov-Fenchel polynomials and Strongly Log-Concave polynomials, prove analogous inequalities for those classes and use them to prove L -convexity of the supports of polynomials from those classes.

We also present a new view on the standard, i.e. when $m = 2$, Newton inequalities and pose some open problems. Our results provide new necessary conditions for **H-Stability** and can be used for the identification of multivariate stable linear system, i.e. for the interpolation of **H-Stable** polynomials.

1 Standard Newton Inequalities

Definition 1.1: We define the following closed subset of R^{n+1} :

$$LC = \{(d_0, \dots, d_n) : d_i \geq 0, 0 \leq i \leq n; d_i^2 \geq d_{i-1} d_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

We also define a weighted shift operator $Shift_c : R^{n+1} \longrightarrow R^{n+1}$,

$$Shift_c((x_0, \dots, x_n)^T) = (c_0 x_1, \dots, c_{n-1} x_n, 0)^T.$$

*gurvits@lanl.gov. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM.

If c is the vector of all ones, then $Shift_c =: Shift$.

A positive sequence (b_0, \dots, b_n) is called **propagatable** if the following implication holds:

$$(p^{(0)}(0)b_0, \dots, p^{(n)}(0)b_n) \in LC \implies (p^{(t)}(0)b_0, \dots, p^{(n)}(t)b_n) \in LC, t \geq 0'$$

where p is a polynomial of degree at most n . ■

Proposition 1.2: *Let c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} be a nonnegative sequence. Then $exp(tShift_c)(LC) \subset LC$ for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if*

$$2c_i \geq c_{i+1} + c_{i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1; 2c_{n-1} \geq c_{n-2}.$$

(In other words, the infinite sequence $(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}, 0, \dots)$ is concave.)

Proof:

1. The "only if" part: Consider the linear system of differential equations :

$$X'(t) = Shift_c X(t) : X(0) = (1, 1, \dots, 1), X(t) = (X_0(t), \dots, X_n(t))^T.$$

Suppose that $exp(tShift_c)(LC) \subset LC, t \geq 0$, i.e $X(t) \in LC : t \geq 0$.

Define the following smooth functions: $r_i(t) = (X_i(t))^2 - X_{i+1}(t)X_{i-1}(t) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

It follows that $r_i(0) = 0$ and $r_i(t) \geq 0, t \geq 0$. Therefore $r'_i(0) \geq 0$. But

$$r'_i(0) = 2c_i - c_{i+1} + c_{i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1; r_{n-1} = 2c_{n-1} - c_{n-2}.$$

2. The "if" part: As $exp(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I + \frac{A}{n})^n$, thus it is sufficient to prove that $(I + tShift_c)(LC) \subset LC$ for all $t \geq 0$, which is done by straightforward derivations. (The observation that $(I + Shift)(LC) \subset LC$ is probably well known, we have learned it from Julius Borcea.)

■

Theorem 1.3: *Let (b_0, \dots, b_k) be a positive sequence. Define $c_i = \frac{b_i}{b_{i+1}}, 0 \leq i \leq k-1$. The sequence (b_0, \dots, b_k) is **propagatable** iff the infinite sequence $(c_0, \dots, c_{k-1}, 0, \dots)$ is concave.*

Proof: Define a vector function $Mom_b(t) = (b_0 p^{(0)}(t), \dots, b_n p^{(n)}(t))^T$. It follows that $Mom_b(t)' = Shift_c(Mom_b(t))$. Therefore (b_0, \dots, b_n) is **propagatable** iff $exp(tShift_c)(LC) \subset LC$ for all $t \geq 0$. The result now follows from Proposition (1.2). ■

Example 1.4: A polynomial $p(t) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} a_i t^i$ with nonnegative coefficients is called n -Newton, where $n \geq k$, if

$$d_i^2 \geq d_{i-1}d_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1, d_i =: \frac{a_i}{\binom{n}{i}}.$$

Or, in other words, the vector $(p^{(0)}(0)b_0, \dots, p^{(k)}(0)b_k) \in LC$, where $b_i = (n-i)!$. As $c_i = \frac{b_i}{b_{i+1}} = n-i : 0 \leq i \leq k-1$ it follows from Theorem (1.3) that $(p^{(t)}(0)b_0, \dots, p^{(k)}(t)b_k) \in LC : t \geq 0$. Equivalently,

$$(p^{(i+1)}(t))^2 \geq \frac{n-i}{n-i-1} p^{(i)}(t) p^{(i+2)}(t) : t \geq 0, i \leq k-2,$$

which means that the functions ${}^{n-i}\sqrt{p^{(i)}} : 0 \leq i \leq k$ are concave on R_+ .

Proposition 1.5: *A polynomial p with nonnegative coefficients is n -Newton, where $n \geq \deg(p)$, iff the functions ${}^{n-i}\sqrt{p^{(i)}} : 0 \leq i \leq k$ are concave on R_+ .*

Remark 1.6: The standard Newton Inequalities correspond to the case $n = \deg(p)$ and hold if the roots of p are real. It was proved in [19] by G. C. Shephard that a polynomial p is n -newton iff $p(t) = Vol_n(tK_1 + K_2)$ for some convex compact subsets (simplexes) $K_1, K_2 \subset R^n$. We used this remarkable result in [12] for alternative (very short and non-computational) proofs of Proposition (1.5) and recent Liggett's convolution theorem, which states that pq is $m+n$ -newton provided that p is n -newton and q is m -newton. ■

■

1.1 Multivariate Case

We start with the following definitions.

Definition 1.7:

1. We define integer simplex as $IS(m, n) = \{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in Z_+^m : \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} r_i = n\}$, where Z_+^m is a set of integer m -dimensional vectors with non-negative coordinates; and the real simplex $Sim_m = \{(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in R^m : \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i = 1\}$
2. We denote as $Hom_R(m, n)(Hom_C(m, n))$ a linear space of homogeneous polynomials with real (complex) coefficients of degree n and in m variables. We denote as $Hom_+(m, n)(Hom_{++}(n, m))$ a convex cone of polynomials $p \in Hom_R(m, n)$ with non-negative (positive) coefficients.
3. For a polynomial $p \in Hom_+(n, n)$ we define its **Capacity** as

$$Cap(p) = \inf_{x_i > 0, \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i = 1} p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \inf_{x_i > 0} \frac{p(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i} \quad (1)$$

More generally, we define for vectors $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) : y_i \geq 0, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i = n$

$$C_p(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \log \left(\inf_{x_i > 0} \frac{p(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i}\right)^{y_i}} \right) \quad (2)$$

Notice that $C_p(Y) = -(G^*(Y) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i \log(y_i))$, where G^* is the **Legendre-Fenchel** transformation of a convex functional $G(X) = \log(p(e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n}))$; $\log(Cap(p)) = C_p(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Example 1.8: If $p \in Hom_+(n, n)$ then $(\partial x_1) \cdots (\partial x_n)p(0) \leq Cap(p)$.

Consider an integer vector $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in R_+^n : r_1 + \dots + r_n = n$. Assume WLOG that $r = (r_1, \dots, r_k, 0, \dots, 0) : r_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq k; k \leq n$. Define the polynomial $p \in Hom_C(n, n)$, $p_{(r)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(e_1(x_1 + \dots + x_{r_1}) + \dots + e_k(x_{r_1+\dots+r_{k-1}+1}))$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis in C^n . Then

$$(\partial x_1)^{r_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{r_m} p(0) = (\partial y_1) \dots (\partial y_n) p_{(r)}(0)$$

If $p \in Hom_+(m, n)$ then $C_p(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \log(Cap(p_{(r)}))$.

Note that $C_p(y_1, \dots, y_n) \geq C$ iff the next inequality holds:

$$\log(p(y_1 x_1, \dots, y_n x_n)) \geq C + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i \log(x_i) : x_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (3)$$

■

4. Let $p \in Hom_C(m, n)$,

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_m)} a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} x_i^{r_i}$$

For a polynomial $p \in Hom_C(m, n)$ and a subset $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ we define $Rank_p(S)$ as the maximal joint degree attained on the set S :

$$Rank_p(S) = \max_{a_{r_1, \dots, r_n} \neq 0} \sum_{j \in S} r_j \quad (4)$$

If $S = \{i\}$ is a singleton, we define $deg_p(i) = Rank_p(S)$.

We define $supp(p)$, the **support** of a polynomial p , as

$$supp(p) = \{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in Z_+^m : a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} \neq 0\}$$

■

Definition 1.9:

1. A polynomial $p \in Hom_+(m, n)$ is called *AF* (short for Alexandrov-Fenchel) if the polynomials $\sqrt[n-i]{(\partial x_1)^{c_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{c_m} p}$ are concave on R_+^m , where $(c_1, \dots, c_m) \in Z_+^m, \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} c_j + i \leq n - 1$. A set of *AF*-polynomials is denoted as *AFP*(m, n)
2. A polynomial $p \in Hom_+(m, n)$ is called **Strongly Log-Concave** if the polynomial $(\partial x_1)^{c_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{c_m} p$ is either zero or $\log((\partial x_1)^{c_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{c_m} p)$ is concave on R_+^m . A set of **Strongly Log-Concave** polynomials is denoted as *SLCP*(m, n).
3. A polynomial $p \in Hom_C(m, n)$ is called **H-Stable** if $p(Z) \neq 0$ provided $Re(Z) > 0$. We denote a set of **H-Stable** polynomials as *HSP*(m, n).

4. Let $X \subset IS(m, n)$. We say that X is **L-Convex** if $X = IS(m, n) \cap CO(X)$, where $CO(X)$ is the convex hull of X .

Consider a functional $f : IS(m, n) \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty\}$. We define its measure of concavity as

$$MC(f) = \inf_{(a, \dots, a_k) \in Sim_k} (f(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k < \infty} a_i Y_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k < \infty} a_i f(Y_i)),$$

where $Y_1, Y_2, \dots \in IS(m, n); \sum_{1 \leq i < \infty} a_i Y_i \in IS(m, n)$.

5. For a polynomial $p \in Hom_+(m, n)$ we define a map $LC_p : IS(m, n) \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty\}$,
 $LC_p(r_1, \dots, r_m) = \log(a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} r_i!)$.
 Note that $LC_p(r_1, \dots, r_m) = \log((\partial x_1)^{r_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{r_m} p(0))$.

■

Fact 1.10:

1. If a polynomial $p \in HSP(m, n)$ is **H-Stable** then $\frac{p}{p(t_1, \dots, t_m)} \in Hom_+(m, n)$ for any vector $(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in R_{++}^m$ with real positive coordinates.
2. (Newton-Alexandrov inequalities for **H-Stable** polynomials, they follow from [15] using the connection in Part 1 of Example(1.11))
 Consider $p \in HSP(m, n) \cap Hom_+(m, n)$, $p(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_m)} a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} x_i^{r_i}$.
 Define $G(R) = a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} (r_i)!$. Let $\Delta = (1, -1, 0, \dots, 0) \in Z^m$.
 If three vectors $R, R + \Delta, R - \Delta \in IS(n, m)$ then

$$(G(R))^2 \geq G(R + \Delta)G(R - \Delta) \quad (5)$$

3. The following inclusions hold: $(HSP(m, n) \cap Hom_+(m, n)) \subset SLCP(m, n) \subset AFP(m, n)$.

Example 1.11:

1. Let $p \in Hom_C(2, n) : p(z_1, z_2) = (z_2)^n \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i (\frac{z_1}{z_2})^i$, and $R(t) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i t^i$. Such polynomial p is **H-Stable** iff the univariate polynomial R does not have roots in the set $\{\frac{z_1}{z_2} : Re(z_1), Re(z_2) > 0\} = \{x \in R : x \leq 0\}$.

In other words, iff all the roots of R are real non-positive numbers.

In the very same way, $p \in HSP(m, n)$ iff for all real positive vectors Y_1, Y_2 the roots of the equation $p(tY_1 + Y_2) = 0$ are real and negative. This observation connects **H-Stable** polynomials with the fundamental notion of hyperbolicity(see more on this in [7], [8], [11]).

2. A sufficiently smooth map $f : R_+^m \rightarrow R_+$ is log-concave iff the symmetric matrix

$$grad(f)(Y)(grad(f)(Y))^T - f(Y)Hess(f)(Y) \succeq 0, Y \in R_+^m$$

is positive semidenite.

The map $f^{\frac{1}{k}}, k > 1$ is concave iff

$$grad(f)(Y)(grad(f)(Y))^T - \frac{k}{k-1} f(Y)Hess(f)(Y) \succeq 0.$$

Consider a non-zero polynomial $p \in Hom_+(m, 2)$, i.e. $p(Y) = \langle AY, Y \rangle$, where A is a non-zero symmetric matrix with nonnegative entries.

It is well known that in this case p is **H-Stable** iff the matrix A is hyperbolic, which means that its signature is $(+, -, \dots, -)$. In this quadratic case the condition of log-concavity reads as

$$2(AY)(AY)^T - \langle AY, Y \rangle A \succeq 0, Y \in R_+^m. \quad (6)$$

It is easy to see that the condition (6) implies that the matrix A is hyperbolic.

Therefore

$$(HSP(m, n) \cap Hom_+(m, 2)) = SLCP(m, 2) = AFP(m, 2).$$

To get an example of AF -polynomial, which is not **H-Stable**, take an arbitrary n -Newton polynomial p , $deg(p) = n \geq 3$ with some complex roots and homogenize it: $q(x, y) =: y^n p(\frac{x}{y})$. This example has very deep connections to the theory of mixed volumes of convex sets [1],[19].

■

Our main result is the next Theorem.

Theorem 1.12:

$$1. \quad -\frac{1}{2} \log(2)n \geq \inf_{p \in SLCP(m, n)} MC(LC_p) \geq -n \quad (7)$$

$$2. \quad -\frac{1}{2} \log(2)n \geq \inf_{p \in AFP(m, n)} MC(LC_p) \geq \log\left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right) \quad (8)$$

In the case of sparse **H-Stable** polynomials, we get sharper inequality:

Theorem 1.13: *Let $p \in (HSP(m, n) \cap Hom_+(m, n))$ and $deg_p(i) \leq k, 2 \leq k \leq n : 1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $MC(LC_p) \geq (n - k)(k - 1) \log\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) + \log\left(\frac{k!}{k^k}\right) \geq n(k - 1) \log\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)$.*

Corollary 1.14: *The supports $supp(p)$ of **Strongly Log-Concave** polynomials are **L-Convex**.*

Example 1.15: Consider the a polynomial $p(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = (x_1 + x_2)(x_2 + x_3) \dots (x_{2n-1} + x_{2n})(x_{2n} + x_1)$. Clearly, $p \in HSP(2n, 2n); deg_p(i) = 2 : 1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Consider three vectors: $R_0 = (1, \dots, 1), R_1 = (2, 0, 2, \dots, 0, 2), R_2 = (0, 2, \dots, 0, 2); 2R_0 = R_1 + R_2$. By direct inspection, $G(R_0) = 2, G(R_1) = G(R_2) = 2^n$. Therefore $MC(LC_p) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \log(2)deg(p)$.

This gives the left inequality in (8). ■

1.2 Our proof strategy

1. STEP 1. $C_p(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is log-concave if $p \in \text{Hom}_+(m, n)$ is log-concave on R_+^m .
This log-concavity statement follows from the inequality (3).
2. STEP 2. Since $C_p(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is log-concave hence the right inequalities in Theorem (1.12) would follow from the following bounds

$$1 \geq \frac{(\partial x_1)^{r_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{r_m} p(0)}{\exp(C_p(r_1, \dots, r_n))} \geq a(n), \quad (9)$$

where $a(n) = \exp(-n)$ if $p \in \text{SLCP}(m, n)$ and $a(n) = \frac{n!}{n^n}$ if $p \in \text{AFP}(m, n)$

3. STEP 3. Consider a polynomial $p \in \text{Hom}_C(m, n)$ and an integer vector $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \text{IS}(m, n)$.
Assume WLOG that $r = (r_1, \dots, r_k, 0, \dots, 0) : r_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq k; k \leq n$. Define the polynomial $p \in \text{Hom}_C(n, n)$,

$$p_{(r)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = p(e_1(y_1 + \dots + y_{r_1}) + \dots + e_k(x_{r_1+\dots+r_{k-1}+1} + \dots x_n),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is the standard basis in C^m . Then

$$(\partial x_1)^{r_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{r_m} p(0) = (\partial y_1) \dots (\partial y_n) p_{(r)}(0)$$

If $p \in \text{Hom}_+(m, n)$ then $C_p(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \log(\text{Cap}(p_{(r)}))$.

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove inequalities (9) for $r = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and polynomials $p \in \text{SLCP}(n, n)$, $p \in \text{AFP}(n, n)$. Notice that if a polynomial p is **H-Stable**(Alexandrov-Fenchel, **Strongly Log-Concave**) then also the polynomial $p_{(r)}$ is. The inequality $\text{Cap}(p) \geq (\partial x_1) \dots (\partial x_n) p(0)$ holds for all polynomials $p \in \text{Hom}_+(n, n)$.

2 Sketch of the proofs

. We need the next elementary result:

Lemma 2.1: Consider a function $f : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ such that the derivative $f'(0)$ exists.

1. If $f^{\frac{1}{k}}$ is concave on R_+ for $k > 1$ then $f'(0) \geq (\frac{k-1}{k})^{k-1} \inf_{t>0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$.
2. If $f^{\frac{1}{k}}$ is log-concave on R_+ then $f'(0) \geq \frac{1}{e} \inf_{t>0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$.
3. Let $R(t) = a_0 + \dots + a_n t^n$ be a strongly log-concave on R_+ univariate polynomial with nonnegative coefficients:
 $G(i)^2 \geq G(i-1)G(i+1) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1, G(i) = a_i i!$.
Then $f'(0) \geq L(n) \inf_{t>0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$, where $L(n) = (\inf_{t>0} \frac{\exp_n(t)}{t})^{-1}$ and the truncated exponential $\exp_n(t) = 1 + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} t^n$. (Notice that \exp_n is strongly log-concave on R_+ .)

Corollary 2.2: Let $p \in \text{Hom}_+(n, n)$ and $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\partial x_{n+1})p(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$. If $p^{\frac{1}{k}}$ is concave on R_+ for $k > 1$ then

$$\text{Cap}(q_{n+1}) \geq g(k) \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \text{Cap}(p), \text{ where } g(k) =: \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1}. \quad (10)$$

Proof: We need to prove that $(\partial x_{n+1})p(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \text{Cap}(p)$, where $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_i = 1, x_i > 0$. Define an univariate polynomial $f(t) = p(x_1, \dots, x_n, t)$. Then $f(t) \geq \text{Cap}(p)t : t \geq 0$ and $f'(0) = (\partial x_{n+1})p(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$. It follows from Lemma(2.1) that $(\partial x_{n+1})p(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \text{Cap}(p)$. ■

As it was explained in STEP 3 above, we need to prove the lower bound

$$(\partial x_1) \dots (\partial x_n)p(0) \geq a \text{Cap}(p), \quad (11)$$

where $a = \frac{n!}{n^n}$ for $p \in \text{AFP}(n, n)$ and $a = e^{-n}$ for $p \in \text{SLCP}(n, n)$. We will prove here the $\text{AFP}(n, n)$ case as the $\text{SLCP}(n, n)$ case has very similar proof.

Our proof is by induction:

Define the following polynomials $q_i \in \text{Hom}_+(i, i)$:

$$q_n = p, \quad q_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) = \frac{\partial^{n-i}}{\partial x_{i+1} \dots \partial x_n} p(x_1, \dots, x_i, 0, \dots, 0). \text{ Notice that } q_1(x_1) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n} p(0)x_1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \text{Cap}(q_1) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n} p(0).$$

For what follows, we need polynomials $(q_i)^{\frac{1}{i}}, n \geq i \geq 1$ to be concave on R_+^i . This is certainly true if $p \in \text{AFP}(n, n)$. Thus, we get from Corollary(2.2) that $\text{Cap}(q_i) \geq g(i) \text{Cap}(q_{i-1})$. Finally

$$(\partial x_1) \dots (\partial x_n)p(0) = \text{Cap}(q_1) \geq \text{Cap}(p) \prod_{2 \leq i \leq n} g(i) = \frac{n!}{n^n} \text{Cap}(p).$$

Remark 2.3: Using the third item in Lemma (2.1) and the same method, we can prove that if $p \in \text{SLCP}(n, n)$ then $(\partial x_1) \dots (\partial x_n)p(0) \geq \text{Cap}(p) \prod_{2 \leq i \leq n} L(\min(\text{deg}_p(i), i))$. If, say $\text{deg}_p(i) \leq 2$, this gives a bound

$$(\partial x_1) \dots (\partial x_n)p(0) \geq \text{Cap}(p) \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{2})^{-n+2}. \quad \blacksquare$$

3 Comments, Open problems

1. The inequality (11) is a far going generalization of the famous Van der Waerden conjecture on permanents of doubly-stochastic matrices([16], [4], [3], [2]). See more on this combinatorial connection in [9], [11], [5].

The "convex relaxation" approach to Newton-Alexandrov(like) inequalities in Theorem(1.12) was introduced by the author in [10] for determinantal polynomials $\det(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} x_i A_i)$, where A_1, \dots, A_m are $n \times n$ hermitian PSD matrices.

2. In the case of **H-Stable** polynomials, Corollary (1.14) can be made much more precise:

$$a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} > 0 \iff \sum_{j \in S} r_j \leq \text{Rank}_p(S) : S \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (12)$$

The characterization (12) is a far going generalization of Hall-Rado theorems.

The paper [9] provides algorithmic applications of these results: strongly polynomial deterministic algorithms for the membership problem as for the support as well Newton polytope of **H-Stable** polynomials $p \in \text{Hom}_+(m, n)$, given as oracles.

We don't know whether (12) works for $p \in \text{AFP}(m, n)$ and $p \in \text{SLCP}(m, n)$.

3. What are the exact constant in Theorem(1.12)? To be precise, let us define

$$NW(n) =: - \inf_{p \in \text{HSP}(n, n)} \frac{MC(LC_p)}{n}.$$

What is the exact value of $NW(n)$ or its exact asymptotics? Is the polynomial in Example(1.15) extremal?

4. Can recently refuted Okounkov's conjecture [17], in the representation theory, on log-concavity of multiplicities be fixed/generalized in the way similar to Theorem(1.12)?
5. Stable multivariate polynomials form a backbone of linear multivariate control. If $p \in \text{HSP}_+(n, n)$ then $\text{Cap}(p) = \inf_{\text{Re}(z_i) > 0} \frac{|p(z_1, \dots, z_n)|}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Re}(z_i)}$. In other words, the capacity can be viewed as a measure of stability. What is a meaning of capacity if terms of control/dynamics?
6. Can our results be generalized to the fractional derivatives?

References

- [1] A. Aleksandrov, On the theory of mixed volumes of convex bodies, IV, Mixed discriminants and mixed volumes (in Russian), *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 3 (1938), 227-251.
- [2] R. B. Bapat, Mixed discriminants of positive semidefinite matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 126, 107-124, 1989.
- [3] G.P. Egorychev, The solution of van der Waerden's problem for permanents, *Advances in Math.*, 42, 299-305, 1981.
- [4] D. I. Falikman, Proof of the van der Waerden's conjecture on the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix, *Mat. Zametki* 29, 6: 931-938, 957, 1981, (in Russian).
- [5] S. Friedland and L. Gurvits, Lower Bounds for Partial Matchings in Regular Bipartite Graphs and Applications to the Monomer-Dimer Entropy, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 2008.
- [6] L. Garding, An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials, *Jour. of Math. and Mech.*, 8(6): 957-965, 1959.

- [7] L. Gurvits, Combinatorial and algorithmic aspects of hyperbolic polynomials, 2004 ; available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.CO/0404474>.
- [8] L. Gurvits, A proof of hyperbolic van der Waerden conjecture : the right generalization is the ultimate simplification, *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC)*(103): (2005) and arXiv:math/0504397.
- [9] L. Gurvits, Hyperbolic polynomials approach to Van der Waerden/Schrijver-Valiant like conjectures: sharper bounds, simpler proofs and algorithmic applications, *Proc. 38 ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (StOC-2006)*,417-426, ACM, New York, 2006.
- [10] L. Gurvits, Van der Waerden Conjecture for Mixed Discriminants, *Advances in Mathematics* , 2006 .
- [11] L. Gurvits, Van der Waerden/Schrijver-Valiant like Conjectures and Stable (aka Hyperbolic) Homogeneous Polynomials : One Theorem for all, arXiv:0711.3496, 2007.
- [12] L. Gurvits, Polynomial time algorithms to approximate mixed volumes within a simply exponential factor, arXiv:cs/0702013v3, 2007.
- [13] L. Hormander , *Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag , New York , Berlin , 1983 .
- [14] V. L. Kharitonov and J. A. Torres Munoz, Robust Stability of Multivariate Polynomials. Part 1: Small Coefficients Perturbations, *Multidimensional Systems and Signal Processing*, 10 (1999), 7-20.
- [15] A.G. Khovanskii, Analogues of the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities for hyperbolic forms, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 29(1984), 710-713.
- [16] H.Minc, *Permanents*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1978.
- [17] A. Okounkov, Why would multiplicities be log-concave? The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000), 329–347, *Progr. Math.*, 213, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [18] A. Schrijver, Counting 1-factors in regular bipartite graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 72 (1998) 122–135.
- [19] G. C. Shephard, Inequalities between mixed volumes of convex sets, *Mathematika* 7 (1960) , 125-138.