

# On the sufficiency of finite support duals in semi-infinite linear programming

Amitabh Basu<sup>a</sup>, Kipp Martin<sup>b</sup>, Christopher Thomas Ryan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*University of California, Davis*

<sup>b</sup>*University of Chicago, Booth School of Business*

---

## Abstract

We consider semi-infinite linear programs with countably many constraints indexed by the natural numbers. When the constraint space is the vector space of all real valued sequences, we show the finite support (Haar) dual is equivalent to the algebraic Lagrangian dual of the linear program. This settles a question left open by Anderson and Nash [2]. This result implies that if there is a duality gap between the primal linear program and its finite support dual, then this duality gap cannot be closed by considering the larger space of dual variables that define the algebraic Lagrangian dual. However, if the constraint space corresponds to certain subspaces of all real-valued sequences, there may be a strictly positive duality gap with the finite support dual, but a zero duality gap with the algebraic Lagrangian dual.

*Keywords:* semi-infinite linear programs, finite support duals, duality gaps

---

## 1. Introduction

We begin with a brief review of notation and basic definitions for semi-infinite linear programs. Let  $Y$  be a vector space. The *algebraic dual* of  $Y$  is the set of linear functionals with domain  $Y$  and is denoted by  $Y'$ . Let  $\psi \in Y'$ . The evaluation of  $\psi$  at  $y$  is denoted by  $\langle y, \psi \rangle$ ; that is,  $\langle y, \psi \rangle = \psi(y)$ .

Let  $P$  be a convex cone in  $Y$ . A convex cone  $P$  is *pointed* if and only if  $P \cap -P = \{0\}$ . In the rest of the paper all convex cones are assumed to be

---

*Email addresses:* abasu@math.ucdavis.edu (Amitabh Basu),  
kmartin@chicagobooth.edu (Kipp Martin), chris.ryan@chicagobooth.edu  
(Christopher Thomas Ryan)

pointed. A pointed convex cone  $P$  in  $Y$  defines a vector space ordering  $\succeq_P$  of  $Y$ , with  $y \succeq_P y'$  if  $y - y' \in P$ . The *dual cone* of  $P$  is

$$P' = \{\psi \in Y' : \langle y, \psi \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in P\}.$$

Elements of  $P'$  are called *positive linear functionals* on  $Y$ . Let  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear mapping from vector space  $X$  to vector space  $Y$ . The *algebraic adjoint*  $A' : Y' \rightarrow X'$  is a linear operator defined by  $A'(\psi) = \psi \circ A$  and satisfies  $\langle x, A'(\psi) \rangle = \langle A(x), \psi \rangle$  where  $\psi \in Y'$  and  $x \in X$ . Using this notation, define the primal conic optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in X} \quad & \langle x, \phi \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A(x) \succeq_P b \end{aligned} \tag{ConLP}$$

where  $b \in Y$  and  $\phi$  is a linear functional on  $X$ .

Now define the standard algebraic Lagrangian dual for (ConLP).

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\psi \in P'} \inf_{x \in X} \{ \langle x, \phi \rangle + \langle b - A(x), \psi \rangle \} &= \sup_{\psi \in P'} \inf_{x \in X} \{ \langle x, \phi \rangle + \langle b, \psi \rangle - \langle A(x), \psi \rangle \} \\ &= \sup_{\psi \in P'} \{ \langle b, \psi \rangle + \inf_{x \in X} \{ \langle x, \phi \rangle - \langle A(x), \psi \rangle \} \} \\ &= \sup_{\psi \in P'} \{ \langle b, \psi \rangle + \inf_{x \in X} \{ \langle x, \phi \rangle - \langle x, A'(\psi) \rangle \} \} \\ &= \sup_{\psi \in P'} \{ \langle b, \psi \rangle + \inf_{x \in X} \langle x, \phi - A'(\psi) \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in X$  is unrestricted, if  $\phi - A'(\psi)$  is not the zero linear functional on  $X$ , then the inner minimization goes to negative infinity, so require  $\phi - A'(\psi) = \theta_X$ , where  $\theta_X$  is the zero linear functional on  $X$ . Then the Lagrangian dual of (ConLP) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \quad & \langle b, \psi \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A'(\psi) = \phi \\ & \psi \in P'. \end{aligned} \tag{ConDLP}$$

This problem is called the *algebraic* Lagrangian dual of (SILP) since the linear functionals  $\psi$  that define the dual problem are in  $Y'$ , which is the algebraic dual of  $Y$ .

*Semi-infinite linear programs.* Consider the case where  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Y = \mathbb{R}^I$ , i.e., the vector space of real-valued functions with domain  $I$  where  $I$  is an

arbitrary (potentially infinite) set. Let  $a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n$  and  $b$  be functions in  $Y = \mathbb{R}^I$ . Let  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$  be the linear mapping  $x \mapsto (a^1(i)x_1 + a^2(i)x_2 + \dots + a^n(i)x_n : i \in I)$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}_+^I$  denote the pointed cone of  $u \in \mathbb{R}^I$  such that  $u(i) \geq 0$  for all  $i \in I$  and let  $P = \mathbb{R}_+^I$ . With this specification for the vector spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , the map  $A$ , right hand side  $b$  and cone  $P$ , problem (ConLP) reduces to the standard semi-infinite linear program

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \phi^\top x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n a^k(i)x_k \geq b(i) \text{ for all } i \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{SILP})$$

There is a slight abuse of notation here. When  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the algebraic dual  $X'$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so each linear functional  $\phi \in X'$  can be mapped to a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus, the primal objective function  $\langle x, \phi \rangle$  in (ConLP), is replaced by the inner product  $\phi^\top x$  with  $\phi$  now treated as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Next consider two alternative duals of (SILP): the algebraic Lagrangian dual and the finite support dual due to Haar [3]. Recall that  $(\mathbb{R}_+^I)'$  denotes the dual cone of  $P = \mathbb{R}_+^I$ . The algebraic Lagrangian dual of (SILP) using (ConDLP) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \quad & \langle b, \psi \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A'(\psi) = \phi \\ & \psi \in (\mathbb{R}_+^I)'. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{DSILP})$$

A second dual is derived as follows. Instead of considering every linear functional  $\psi \in (\mathbb{R}_+^I)'$  as above, consider a subset of these linear functionals, called the *finite support elements*. For  $u \in \mathbb{R}^I$ , the *support* of  $u$  is the set  $\text{supp}(u) = \{i : u(i) \neq 0\}$ . The subspace  $\mathbb{R}^{(I)}$  denotes those functions in  $\mathbb{R}^I$  with finite support. Let  $\mathbb{R}_+^{(I)}$  denote the pointed cone of  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{(I)}$  such that  $v(i) \geq 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Under the standard embedding of  $\mathbb{R}^{(I)}$  into  $(\mathbb{R}^I)'$  for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^I$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{(I)}$ , write  $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} u(i)v(i)$ . The latter sum is well-defined since  $v$  has finite support. Under this embedding,  $\mathbb{R}_+^{(I)}$  is a subset of  $(\mathbb{R}_+^I)'$ . Moreover, under this embedding,  $A' : (\mathbb{R}^I)' \rightarrow X' (= \mathbb{R}^n)$  restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^{(I)}$  becomes the map  $A'(v) = (\sum_{i \in I} a^k(i)v(i))_{k=1}^n$ . The *finite support dual* is

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \quad & \sum_{i \in I} b(i)v(i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in I} a^k(i)v(i) = \phi_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \\ & v \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(I)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{FDSILP})$$

The finite support dual (FDSILP) is restricted to the linear functionals  $\psi$  that can be mapped to  $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(I)}$  under the standard embedding of  $\mathbb{R}^{(I)}$  into  $(\mathbb{R}^I)'$ . Therefore  $v(\text{FDSILP}) \leq v(\text{DSILP})$  where the optimal value of optimization problem (\*) is denoted by  $v(*)$ . This leads naturally to the following question.

**Question 1.** Is it possible that  $v(\text{SILP}) = v(\text{DSILP})$  and  $v(\text{SILP}) > v(\text{FDSILP})$ ? In other words, can there exist a duality gap between the primal and its finite support dual that is closed by considering the algebraic Lagrangian dual?

This question is significant for the study of semi-infinite linear programming since: (i) nearly all theory has been developed for the finite support dual, and (ii) the algebraic Lagrangian dual is notoriously challenging to characterize and work with.

To the authors' knowledge this question has not been settled for  $I = \mathbb{N}$ , i.e., semi-infinite linear programs with countably many constraints. Indeed, on page 66 of Anderson and Nash's seminal work [2] they write: "It seems to be hard, if not impossible, to find examples of countable semi-infinite programs which have a duality gap in this formulation [the finite support dual], but have no duality gap when we take  $W$  to be a wider class of sequences" where  $W$  refers to the vector space of dual variables. In our notation,  $W = (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})'$  in (DSILP) and  $W = \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  in (FDSILP). The main result of this paper (Theorem 2.2) is to prove that this is indeed impossible, and therefore answer Question 1 negatively for the case of  $I = \mathbb{N}$ . We show that  $v(\text{DSILP}) = v(\text{FDSILP})$  by establishing that (DSILP) and (FDSILP) are equivalent.

However, there is a subtlety in Question 1 to keep in mind for semi-infinite linear programs with countably many constraints. In the above discussion, a semi-infinite linear program with countably many constraints was cast as an instance of (ConLP) with  $X = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $A(x) = (a^1(i)x_1 + a^2(i)x_2 + \dots + a^n(i)x_n : i \in I)$ , and  $P = \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then (DSILP) was formed using (ConDLP). However, if the vectors  $a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n$  and  $b$  lie in a subspace  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then we may use  $Y = \mathcal{V}$  and  $P = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  to write the semi-infinite linear program as an instance of (ConLP). The corresponding (ConDLP) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \quad & \langle b, \psi \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A'(\psi) = \phi \\ & \psi \in (\mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})' \end{aligned} \tag{DSILP(\mathcal{V})}$$

where  $(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})' \subseteq \mathcal{V}'$  is the dual cone of  $P = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ , which lies in the algebraic dual of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

It is quite possible that a positive linear functional defined on  $(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})'$  cannot be extended to  $(\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})'$ . This implies (DSILP) (with  $I = \mathbb{N}$ ) may have a smaller value than  $(\text{DSILP}(\mathcal{V}))$ , i.e.,  $v(\text{DSILP}) < v(\text{DSILP}(\mathcal{V}))$ . In this context, the following question is a natural extension of Question 1.

**Question 2.** Is it possible that  $v(\text{SILP}) = v(\text{DSILP}(\mathcal{V}))$  and  $v(\text{SILP}) > v(\text{FDSILP}) = v(\text{DSILP})$  when  $a^1, \dots, a^n, b \in \mathcal{V}$  for some subspace  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ? In other words, when the primal constraint space lies in a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , can there exist a duality gap between the primal and its finite support dual (FDSILP), that is closed by considering the algebraic Lagrangian dual defined according to that subspace?

We show in Section 3 that this can happen. More concretely, in Example 3.5 in Section 3, there is a duality gap between (SILP) and the finite support dual (FDSILP). However, if  $a^1, \dots, a^n, b$  are considered as elements of the space of convergent real sequences  $c$ , then (SILP) is a special case of (ConLP) with  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{V} = Y = c$ ,  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $P = c_+$  (the cone of sequences with nonnegative entries), and there is no duality gap with its algebraic Lagrangian dual  $(\text{DSILP}(c))$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \quad & \langle b, \psi \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A'(\psi) = \phi \\ & \psi \in (c_+)' \end{aligned} \tag{DSILP}(c)$$

where  $c'$  is the algebraic dual vector space of  $c$ . The same result also holds when  $Y$  is the subspace of bounded real sequences  $\ell_\infty$ .

## 2. Main result

**Lemma 2.1.** For every  $\psi \in (\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})'$ , there exists  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(\mathbb{N})}$  such that  $\langle y, \psi \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} y(i)u(i)$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . In other words, every positive linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  can be represented by a positive finite support dual vector.

*Proof.* Consider any  $\psi \in (\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})'$ , i.e.,  $\langle y, \psi \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $y \geq 0$ . Show  $\psi$  can be represented by a finite support linear functional.

**Claim 1.** There exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  whose first  $M$  components are zero,  $\langle v, \psi \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose no such  $M$  exists. Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $v^n \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\langle v^n, \psi \rangle > 0$  (we can assume strictly greater than zero without loss) and the first  $n$  components of  $v^n$  are zero. Consider the sequence of vectors  $\hat{v}^n = \frac{v^n}{\langle v^n, \psi \rangle}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Observe that  $\langle \hat{v}^n, \psi \rangle = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, consider vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  where  $a(i) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{v}^n(i) = \sum_{n=1}^i \hat{v}^n(i) + \sum_{n>i} \hat{v}^n(i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\hat{v}^n(i) = 0$  for all  $n > i$ ,  $\sum_{n>i} \hat{v}^n(i) = 0$ . This implies  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{v}^n(i)$  is a finite sum and therefore well-defined. Given any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows from the definition of  $a(i)$ , that  $a(i) - \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{v}^n(i) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{v}^n(i) - \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{v}^n(i) = \sum_{n>N} \hat{v}^n(i)$ . However,  $\sum_{n>N} \hat{v}^n(i) \geq 0$  since the  $\hat{v}^n$  are in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ . This implies  $a - \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{v}^n \geq 0$ . Thus,  $\langle a - \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{v}^n, \psi \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . By linearity of  $\psi$ , this implies that  $\langle a, \psi \rangle \geq \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \hat{v}^n, \psi \rangle = N$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . But this means  $\langle a, \psi \rangle$  cannot be a finite number, which is a contradiction of the fact that  $\psi$ , being a linear functional, is real valued.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be the natural number from Claim 1. Now let  $e^i$  denote the element of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with 1 in the  $i$ -th coordinate and 0 everywhere else. Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  be a finite support element given as follows

$$u(i) = \begin{cases} \langle e^i, \psi \rangle, & i \leq M \\ 0, & i > M. \end{cases}$$

Show that  $\langle y, \psi \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} u(i)y(i)$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Observe that any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  can be represented as  $y = \sum_{i=1}^M y(i)e^i + v$  where  $v$  has zeros in its first  $M$  components. By Claim 1,  $\langle v, \psi \rangle = 0$ . Hence  $\langle y, \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^M y(i)\langle e^i, \psi \rangle + \langle v, \psi \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} u(i)y(i)$ .  $\square$

A proof of a similar result appears as Theorem 16.3 in Aliprantis and Border [1]. Their result appeals to facts about Fréchet lattices, Riesz spaces, and their proof is topological (based on continuity) in nature, as opposed to our proof technique which is purely algebraic.

Using the above lemma Question 1 is answered for  $I = \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** When  $I = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v(\text{SILP}) = v(\text{DSILP})$  if and only if  $v(\text{SILP}) = v(\text{FDSILP})$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show  $v(\text{DSILP}) = v(\text{FDSILP})$ . Lemma 2.1 implies  $(\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})' \cong \mathbb{R}_+^{(\mathbb{N})}$  and thus the feasible regions of (DSILP) and (FDSILP) are equivalent under the standard embedding of  $\mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  into  $(\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})'$ . The objectives are also equivalent under that embedding and thus  $v(\text{DSILP}) = v(\text{FDSILP})$ .  $\square$

### 3. Duality gaps in proper subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$

By Theorem 2.2, the optimal value of the finite support dual is equal to the optimal value of the algebraic Lagrangian dual for semi-infinite linear programs with countably many constraints, when we model them as an instance of (ConLP) using  $Y = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . However, this is not necessarily true for problems with countably many constraints if they can be modeled as (ConLP) with  $Y$  as a proper subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . In this section, we give an affirmative answer to Question 2 via Example 3.5.

Two examples of proper subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  are  $\ell_{\infty}$ , the space of all bounded real sequences, and  $c$ , the space of all convergent sequences. Clearly,  $c \subset \ell_{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We extend the notion of positive linear functionals to these subspaces. A linear functional  $\psi \in X'$  on any subspace  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , is called a positive linear functional on  $X$  if  $\langle v, \psi \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}} \cap X$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Define a positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $c$  by  $\langle v, \psi \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v(i)$ . Then: 1)  $\psi$  cannot be extended to a positive linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , i.e., it cannot be extended to an element of  $(\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})' \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})'$ , and 2)  $\psi$  cannot be extended to a linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that can be represented by a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with finite support.

*Proof.* Show 1): assume  $\bar{\psi}$  is an extension of  $\psi$  and that  $\bar{\psi}$  is a positive linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Derive a contradiction. Let  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c$  be the all ones sequence. Then  $\langle \mathbf{1}, \bar{\psi} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1}, \psi \rangle = 1$ . Let  $v = (n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence  $(1, 2, 3, \dots)$ . Since  $v$  is in the nonnegative orthant  $\langle v, \bar{\psi} \rangle = \alpha \geq 0$ . Let  $M = \lceil \alpha \rceil + 1$ . Consider the sequence  $m = (0, 0, 0, \dots, M, M, M, \dots)$  where the first  $M - 1$  entries are 0. Then  $v - m$  is in the nonnegative orthant, but  $\langle v - m, \bar{\psi} \rangle = \langle v, \bar{\psi} \rangle - \langle m, \bar{\psi} \rangle = \alpha - \langle m, \psi \rangle = \alpha - M < 0$ , and this is a contradiction to the assumption that  $\bar{\psi}$  is a positive linear functional. Therefore  $\psi$  cannot be extended to a positive linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Show 2): assume  $\bar{\psi}$  is an extension of  $\psi$  and that  $\bar{\psi}$  can be represented by a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with finite support. Derive a contradiction. Clearly  $\bar{\psi}$  cannot be the zero element since  $\langle \mathbf{1}, \bar{\psi} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1}, \psi \rangle = 1$ . If  $\bar{\psi}$  is nonzero, there is a nonzero index  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  for each element of the finite support of the sequence representing  $\bar{\psi}$ . Consider  $e^i \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $\langle e^i, \psi \rangle = 0$  for each  $i$ , but  $\langle e^i, \bar{\psi} \rangle \neq 0$  for at least one  $i$  which contradicts the fact that  $\bar{\psi}$  is an extension of  $\psi$ . Therefore  $\psi$  cannot be extended to a linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that can be represented by a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with finite support.  $\square$

Although positive linear functionals on the space  $c$  cannot be extended to  $(\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}})'$ , they can be extended to positive linear functionals on  $\ell_\infty$  as shown in Lemma 3.3 below.

First, recall the notion of a *core point*. Given a vector space  $X$  and a subset  $A \subseteq X$ , a point  $a \in A$  is called a core point of  $A$  if for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $a + \lambda x \in A$  for all  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \epsilon$ . Some authors call such a point an *absorbing point* or an *internal point* (see for instance Chapter 5 of Aliprantis and Border [1]). The following is a useful result for extending positive linear functionals.

**Theorem 3.2** (Krein-Rutman theorem, see Holmes [4] p. 20). Let  $X$  be a vector space ordered by  $\succeq_P$  where  $P$  is a pointed, convex cone in  $X$ . Furthermore, let  $M$  be a linear subspace of  $X$  ordered by  $\succeq_{P \cap M}$ . If  $P \cap M$  contains a core point (with respect to  $X$ ) of  $P$ , then any positive linear functional on  $M$  admits a positive linear extension to all of  $X$ . In other words, if  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\langle m, \psi \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $m \in M \cap P$ , then there exists a  $\bar{\psi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\langle x, \bar{\psi} \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in P$  and  $\langle x, \bar{\psi} \rangle = \langle x, \psi \rangle$  for all  $x \in M$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Every positive linear functional on  $c$  can be extended to a positive linear functional on  $\ell_\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P = \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_\infty$  be the nonnegative cone in  $\ell_\infty$ . Take the convergent sequence  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots)$ . This convergent sequence is an element of  $P \cap c$ . Also,  $\mathbf{1}$  is a core point of  $P$  with respect to  $\ell_\infty$ . To see that  $\mathbf{1}$  is a core point of  $P$ , take any  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty$ . Since  $\{a_n\}$  is in  $\ell_\infty$ ,  $\sup_n \{|a_n|\} < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{1} + \lambda \{a_n\} \in P$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1/\sup_n \{|a_n|\})$ . Since  $P$  has a core point with respect to  $\ell_\infty$ , apply the Krein-Rutman theorem to extend positive linear functionals defined on  $c$  to positive linear functionals defined on  $\ell_\infty$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** The limit functional defined in Lemma 3.1 can be extended to a positive linear functional over  $\ell_\infty$ .

Example 3.5 below provides an affirmative answer to Question 2. This example gives an (SILP) with  $I = \mathbb{N}$ , where  $v(\text{SILP}) = v(\text{DSILP}(\mathcal{V}))$  and  $v(\text{SILP}) > v(\text{FDSILP}) = v(\text{DSILP})$ , with the subspace  $\mathcal{V} = c$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \ell_\infty$ . The equality  $v(\text{FDSILP}) = v(\text{DSILP})$  follows from Theorem 2.2.

**Example 3.5.** The (SILP) is

$$\begin{aligned} \inf x_1 \\ x_1 + \frac{1}{i^2} x_2 &\geq \frac{2}{i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$



Show that  $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{1}{\delta}, \delta)$  is feasible to (3.1) for all  $\delta > 0$ . For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (i - \delta)^2 && \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow & i^2 + \delta^2 - 2\delta i && \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow & i^2 + \delta^2 && \geq 2\delta i \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{i^2 + \delta^2}{\delta i^2} && \geq \frac{2\delta i}{\delta i^2} \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta}{i^2} && \geq \frac{2}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\delta \rightarrow \infty$  gives a sequence of feasible solutions whose objective values converge to an objective value of 0.

Next show that if  $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)$  is any feasible solution, then  $\bar{x}_1 \geq 0$ . The constraint corresponding to  $i = \lceil |\bar{x}_2| \rceil$  gives  $\bar{x}_1 \geq \frac{2}{\lceil |\bar{x}_2| \rceil} - \frac{\bar{x}_2}{\lceil |\bar{x}_2| \rceil^2} \geq \frac{1}{\lceil |\bar{x}_2| \rceil} > 0$ . Therefore the optimal primal objective value is equal to 0.

The finite support dual (FDSILP) for this semi-infinite linear program is infeasible. The objective coefficient of  $x_2$  is 0 and the coefficient of  $x_2$  is strictly positive in the constraints, and so the only possible dual element satisfying the dual constraint corresponding to  $x_2$  is  $u = 0$ ; however, the objective coefficient of  $x_1$  is 1 and the dual constraint corresponding to  $x_1$  is not satisfied for  $u = 0$ . This shows that using the finite support dual leads to an infinite duality gap.

In this example,  $a^1(i) = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  so this sequence converges to 1. Also,  $a^2(i) = \frac{1}{i^2}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and this sequence converges to 0. Likewise,  $b(i) = \frac{2}{i}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  so this sequence converges to 0. Therefore, this semi-infinite linear program is an instance of (ConLP) with  $Y = c$  (or  $\ell_\infty$ )  $\subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Now consider the algebraic Lagrangian dual (DSILP( $\mathcal{V}$ )) defined on the space of positive linear functionals on  $c$  (or  $\ell_\infty$ ). The limit functional  $\psi$  defined in Lemma 3.1 is  $\langle v, \psi \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v(i)$ , for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c$ . This is a positive linear functional on  $c$  (as is its extension to  $\ell_\infty$  by Corollary 3.4) since any convergent sequence of nonnegative numbers is nonnegative. As observed earlier,  $a^1(i)$  converges to 1 which is the coefficient of  $x_1$  in the objective, and  $a^2(i)$  converges to 0 which is the coefficient of  $x_2$  in the objective. Therefore  $\psi$  is a feasible dual solution. The dual objective value  $\langle b, \psi \rangle$  is  $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b(i) = \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{2}{i} = 0$ . This is the optimal value of the primal and therefore the duality gap is zero.

This example illustrates part 1) of Lemma 3.1. If  $\psi$  could be extended to a positive linear functional  $\bar{\psi}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then  $\bar{\psi}$  would be a feasible solution to (DSILP) with objective function value zero implying a zero duality gap between (3.1) and (DSILP). Since there is an infinite duality gap between (3.1) and its finite support dual (FDSILP), this would contradict Theorem 2.2.  $\triangleleft$

## Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to Greg Kuperberg, University of California Davis, for discussions that led to the proof of Lemma 2.1.

## References

- [1] C.D. Aliprantis and K.C. Border. *Infinite dimensional analysis: a hitchhiker's guide*. Springer Verlag, second edition, 2006.
- [2] E.J. Anderson and P. Nash. *Linear programming in infinite-dimensional spaces: theory and applications*. Wiley, 1987.
- [3] A. Haar. Uber lineare ungleichungen. *Acta Math. Szeged*, 2:1–14, 1924.
- [4] R.B. Holmes. *Geometric functional analysis and its applications*. Springer-Verlag New York, 1975.